



(Regd.) Shri Sukhwant Singh,
113, Dhanas,
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB.,
Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB.,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2780 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sukhwant Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Surinder Kumar, Steno is present on behalf of Ms. Kiran Sibal, SPIO-cum-Member, O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB., Chandigarh.

ORDER

Shri Sukhwant Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.06.2020 and sought information from the SPIO, O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab regarding the residential address of Shri Bhag Singh, Registrar, O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, Punjab. The reply was sent to the appellant by the SPIO vide letter no.6151 dated 24.06.2020 and the information sought by him was denied being personal information of third party.

Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission and the case was registered as Appeal Case No.2780 of 2020. Both the parties were summoned for 26.11.2020.

On 26.11.2020 the appellant has not come present, whereas Shri Ravinder Kumar, Suptd. was present on behalf of the SPIO. After going through the RTI application as the nature of the information sought by the appellant was regarding the personal information i.e. residential address of Shri Bhag Singh, Registrar, which was exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 and as no large public interest was involved in the disclosure of personal information, therefore, the appeal case was disposed of and closed on 26.11.2020.

Then the appellant, Shri Sukhwant Singh filed a Writ Petition No.15768 of 2021 before the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court and he alleged that the case was heard through CISCO Webex and he was not aware about how to connect, so he could not appear before the Commission. The Hon'ble Justice H.S. Madaan vide Order dated 17.08.2021 disposed of the writ petition with the direction to pass fresh order after affording personal hearing to the petitioner. So, both the parties were directed to appear before the Commission on 31.08.2021.

On 31.08.2021, Shri Ravinder Goel, Suptd. was present on behalf of Ms. Kiran Sibal, SPIO-cum-Member, but the appellant, Shri Sukhwant Singh failed to come present. Then

the case was adjourned for 06.10.2021. On 06.10.2021, the case was postponed for 18.10.2021.

On 18.10.2021, Shri Sukhwant Singh, appellant was again absent, whereas Shri Surinder Kumar, Steno was present on behalf of the SPIO. The appellant though was absent, but he has sent a representation through Shri Paramjit Singh vide letter dated 18.10.2021 stating that he is suffering from Cancer and is unable to appear before the Commission at the time of hearing and requested for adjournment. Shri Surinder Kumar, Steno had filed written submission duly signed by the SPIO, which was taken in the file of the Commission. On the request of the appellant, the case was adjourned for 24.11.2021.

On 24.11.2021 again the appellant has not come present, however he has informed the Commission telephonically that he would not be able to attend the hearing due to health issues. So, the case was adjourned for today i.e. 15.12.2021.

Today i.e. on 15.12.2021, the case was called. Shri Sukhwant Singh, appellant is absent, whereas Shri Surinder Kumar, Steno is present on behalf of Ms. Kiran Sibal, SPIO-cum-Member, O/o State Consumer Dispute Redressal Commission, PB., Chandigarh. The appellant has sent an application dated 13.12.2021 to the Commission and he again requested for a date.

As per the Order dated 17.08.2021 passed by the Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court, the Commission has provided opportunities of personal hearing to the appellant and the case was heard from time to time on the request of the appellant, but he has never come present before the Commission on any date of hearing, whereas the respondent has come present on every date of hearing and filed reply vide letter dated 06.10.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application 17.06.2020, heard the respondent and also gone through the provisions of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The appellant sought the residential address of a person, which is personal information, which has no concern with the record of the public authority. The appellant while seeking the personal information i.e. residential address of Shri Bhag Singh, Registrar has failed to show that which larger public interest is involved in seeking this personal information.

So, I am of the view that the information sought by the appellant is personal information of Shri Bhag Singh, Registrar and life and liberty of his family members and property is involved, so the information sought by the appellant cannot be provided and is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Encl: Reply of the SPIO.



Shri Hakam Singh,
S/o Sh. Darshan Singh,
R/o Sadhir, Tehsil Gidderbaha,
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

--Appellant.

Versus

(Regd.) **Public Information Officer**
O/o Addl. District Program Co-ordinator,
MNREGA, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Sri Muktsar Sahib.

..Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3055 of 2021

Present:- Shri Hakam Singh, appellant is absent.
Shri Parminder Singh, APO, MANREGA is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Addl. District Program Coordinator, MNREGA, Sri Muktsar Sahib.

O R D E R

Shri Hakam Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 30.03.2021 before the ADC (D), Sri Muktsar Sahib. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Addl. District Program Coordinator, MNREGA, Sri Muktsar Sahib vide letter dated 12.04.2021.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The respondent stated that the sought for information has been provided to the appellant by hand and he has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information. The respondent submitted a copy of letter no.1385 dated 14.12.2021 along with a duly signed receipt executed by Shri Hakam Singh, appellant. Both the documents are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through letter no.1385 dated 14.12.2021 and it is submitted by the ADC (Dev.)-cum- Addl. District Program Coordinator, MNREGA, Sri Muktsar Sahib that the sought for information has been provided by hand to the appellant. I have also gone through the duly signed receipt executed by Shri Hakam Singh, appellant and he has submitted that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the respondent SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant has admitted through his duly executed receipt that he has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Balwinder Kumar,
R/o W.M. 131, Basti Gujja,
Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1971 of 2020

Present:- Shri Vikram, son of Shri Balwinder Kumar, appellant is present.
Shri Dinesh Joshi, APIO-cum-Building Inspector is present on behalf of the
SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Balwinder Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.12.2019 and sought information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar regarding complaint no.519/JC/H dated 18.11.2019.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Shri Vikram, S/o Shri Balwinder Kumar is present and Shri Dinesh Joshi, APIO-cum-Building Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO.

The APIO stated that the sought for information and the amount of compensation of Rs.5,000/- has been provided to the appellant.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.MTP/3999 dated 23.11.2021 along with copies of information.

Shri Vikram, S/o Shri Balwinder Kumar stated that he has received the information and the amount of compensation of Rs.5,000/-.

A Show Cause Notice was issued to the SPIO vide Order dated 17.02.2021 and the SPIO has filed reply to the Show Cause Notice vide letter no.1047 dated 20.09.2021, which is in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the reply to the Show Cause Notice and it is submitted by the SPIO that the original application could not be traced out due to frequent changes in the respective areas of Building Inspectors. It is further submitted by the SPIO that the delay in providing the information is neither intentionally nor deliberately. The SPIO tendered unconditional apology and requested to drop the Show Cause Notice.

So, as per the reply sent by the SPIO it is clear that he is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the appellant, so the Show Cause Notice issued to the SPIO is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information and the amount of compensation to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 15.12.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,
Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Distt. Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director, Punjab School Education Board,
Sector 68, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o Director, Punjab School Education Board,
Sector 68, Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4558 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.
Ms. Kanta Monga, APIO is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director,
Punjab School Education Board, Sector 68, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.03.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

The appellant is present through CISCO Webex, whereas the respondent APIO is personally present. The appellant stated that incomplete information has been provided to him by the SPIO as information regarding point nos. 2 and 3 of the RTI application has not been provided to him.

The respondent stated that the information regarding point nos. 2 and 3 of the RTI application is related with third party and hence cannot be provided to the appellant U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI application.

I have gone through point nos. 2 & 3 of the RTI application and also discussed with both the parties. The information sought through point no. 2 of the RTI application is rightly been denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005 being third party information. But the information regarding point no. 3 of the RTI application is not related with the third party and hence be provided to the appellant.

The respondent stated she has come present along with the information related with point no. 3 of the RTI application and assured the Commission that the same will be sent to the appellant today itself through registered post.

After the hearing the APIO visited the office of the Commission in the afternoon and submitted a copy of letter dated 15.12.2021 along with attested copy of information and a postal receipt dated 15.12.2021 vide which the information related with point no. 3 of the RTI application has been sent to the appellant.

As the respondent has sent the information regarding point nos. 1, 3, 4 and 5 of the RTI application to the appellant and the information regarding point no. 2 of the RTI application is denied being third party information U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Smt. Sukhwant Kaur,
MCB Z-5, Main Street,
National Colony, Bathinda.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Principal,
Govt. High School, Dallewala,
Distt. Faridkot.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Principal,
Govt. High School, Dallewala,
Distt. Faridkot.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4607 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Sukhwant Kaur, appellant is present.
Shri Satish Kumar, S.S. Master-cum-SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. High School,
Dallewala, Distt. Faridkot is present.
Smt. Jaspreet Kaur along with Advocate Raj Kumar Gupta is present.

O R D E R

Smt. Sukhwant Kaur, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.07.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Principal, Govt. High School, Dallewala, Distt. Faridkot.

Smt. Sukhwant Kaur, appellant, Shri Satish Kumar, S.S. Master-cum-SPIO and Smt. Jaspreet Kaur along with Advocate Raj Kumar Gupta are personally present.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to her by the SPIO.

The respondent SPIO stated that he has come present along with the sought for information.

The respondent provided the sought for information i.e. Disability Certificate, appointment letter, medical certificate, educational certificates etc. to the appellant today during the course of hearing. The appellant has received the information and stated that she is satisfied.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and the appellant is satisfied, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Shri Baljinder Sodhi,
120, Kanshi Nagar,
Kot Sadeek, Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1815 of 2021

Present:- Shri Baljinder Sodhi, appellant is absent.
Sh. Ankush Bhatti, Area Collector is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Baljinder Sodhi, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is personally present.

The appellant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that he is unable to attend the hearing for today as he is busy in session cases.

The respondent stated that point-wise information has already been sent to the appellant on 19.08.2021 then vide letter no.874 dated 14.10.2021 and now again vide letter no.902 dated 27.11.2021. The respondent further stated that as per the official record all the information available has already been sent to the appellant and except that no other information regarding the RTI application filed by the appellant is available in the office of the public authority.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no.902 dated 27.11.2021 vide which point-wise information has been sent to the appellant.

As the respondent has sent point-wise information to the appellant and also stated that no other information related with the RTI application filed by the appellant is available in the office of the public authority, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

– for information.

Shri Pankaj Kumar, S/o Sh. Nabh Singh,
MCB Zone-6, H.No.3225, Gali No.1-B,
Surkhpeer Road, Bhatinda.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer,
Bathinda.

First Appellate Authority:

O/o ADC (D),
Bathinda.

Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2817 of 2021

Present:- Shri Sanjeev Goyal is present on behalf of Shri Pankaj Kumar, appellant.
Ms. Neeru Garg, Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer, Bathinda is present.

O R D E R

Shri Pankaj Kumar, appellant filed RTI application dated 05.02.2021 before the SPIO, O/o Animal Husbandry Department, Bathinda. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer, Bathinda vide letter dated 09.02.2021 to provide the information related with point no.12 of the RTI application.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Shri Sanjeev Goyal is present on behalf of Shri Pankaj Kumar, appellant and Ms. Neeru Garg, Distt. Development & Panchayat Officer, Bathinda is also present.

The representative of the appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to the appellant by the SPIO till date.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the sought for information comprising of 9 pages has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 13.12.2021 vide letter no. 6526 dated 13.12.2021 and copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter no. 6526 dated 13.12.2021 along with attested copies of information. I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information sent by the SPIO.

But the representative of the appellant stated that the information has not yet been received, so the appellant is directed to visit the office of the SPIO today itself and the SPIO is further directed that the attested copies of the sought for information be provided to the appellant and compliance to the Commission.

With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate,
Chamber No. 16, New Distt. Court Complex,
Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Education Secretary,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Education Secretary,
Punjab School Education Board,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2299 of 2021

Present:- Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate, appellant is present.
Smt. Bimla Mann, SPIO-cum-Suptd. along with Sh. Arshu Kumar, Sr. Asstt.
O/o Education Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali is present.

ORDER

Shri Subhash Dahuja, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.11.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Education Secretary, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali.

The appellant is present through CISCO Webex, whereas Smt. Bimla Mann, SPIO-cum-Suptd. along with Sh. Arshu Kumar, Sr. Asstt. are personally present.

The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent SPIO stated that point-wise information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 10.12.2021 vide letter no.290892/2021 dated 10.12.2021. The SPIO has submitted a copy of letter no. 290892/2021 dated 10.12.2021 along with copies of information, which are taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application and also gone through the information/reply sent by the SPIO. The SPIO has sent information/reply to each point of the RTI application.

The SPIO has sent the information to the appellant through registered post on 10.12.2021 vide letter no.290892/2021 dated 10.12.2021, which would reach the appellant within 2-3 days. As the SPIO has sent point-wise information/reply to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Smt. Neha Nanda, D/o Shri Sunil Maini,
W/o Shri Sahil Nanda,
R/o 5520/1, Gali No.1,
New Shivagi Nagar,
Ludhiana.

--Appellant.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana.

..Respondent

Appeal Case No. 4694 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Neha Nanda, appellant is present.
ASI Tarsem Singh-1689/LDH is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o
Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Smt. Neha Nanda, appellant filed RTI application and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana.

Both the parties are personally present today.

ASI Tarsem Singh has come personally present along with case file. He has provided the attested copies of 111 pages of information to the appellant vide letter no.962/D dated 15.12.2021 and a copy of the letter is submitted to the Commission, which is taken in the file of the Commission.

The appellant has gone through the information provided by the respondent and she stated that she is satisfied with the information.

As the respondent has provided the attested copies of 111 pages of information to the appellant today before the Commission and the appellant is satisfied with the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 15.12.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.